



# COMPLIANCE WEEKLY

A Publication of Seward & Kissel Regulatory Compliance

JUNE 28, 2022

SKRC Compliance Weekly is a weekly reminder of certain regulatory obligations that may apply to an SEC-registered investment adviser and CFTC-registered commodity pool operator and commodity trading advisor with a December 31 fiscal year-end that advises one or more private funds.

Obligation	Comment
Distribute audited financial statements to investors in “funds-of-funds” (due 6/29/2022).	With respect to a “fund-of-funds”, the Custody Rule provides an exception from certain requirements of the Custody Rule with respect to the account of a limited partnership, limited liability company or another type of pooled investment vehicle (e.g., hedge funds and other private funds) that is subject to audit by an independent public accountant at least annually and distributes its audited financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles to all investors within 180 days after the fund’s fiscal year-end. Notwithstanding the timing of this requirement, registered CPOs are required to file and distribute audited financials within 90 days of the pool’s fiscal year-end, unless an extension has been granted.
Periodic Report for CPOs (due 6/30/2022).	CPOs are required to distribute a periodic report of their account statements within 30 calendar days of each month end. Note, however, that CPOs relying on an exemption under CFTC Rule 4.7 or Rule 4.12(b) are only required to distribute a periodic report of their account statements within 30 days of each quarter end.

If you have any questions regarding the matters covered herein, please contact any of the attorneys listed below.

<b>Daniel Bresler</b> <a href="mailto:bresler@sewkis.com">bresler@sewkis.com</a> 212-574-1203	<b>Debra Franzese</b> <a href="mailto:franzese@sewkis.com">franzese@sewkis.com</a> 212-574-1353	<b>Robert M. Kurucz</b> <a href="mailto:kurucz@sewkis.com">kurucz@sewkis.com</a> (202) 661-7195	<b>Nicholas R. Miller</b> <a href="mailto:millern@sewkis.com">millern@sewkis.com</a> 212-574-1359	<b>Paul M. Miller</b> <a href="mailto:millerp@sewkis.com">millerp@sewkis.com</a> 202-737-8833
<b>Joseph M. Morrissey</b> <a href="mailto:morrissey@sewkis.com">morrissey@sewkis.com</a> 212-574-1245	<b>David R. Mulle</b> <a href="mailto:mulle@sewkis.com">mulle@sewkis.com</a> 212-574-1452	<b>Steven B. Nadel</b> <a href="mailto:nadel@sewkis.com">nadel@sewkis.com</a> 212-574-1231	<b>Kevin Neubauer</b> <a href="mailto:neubauer@sewkis.com">neubauer@sewkis.com</a> 212-574-1355	<b>Patricia A. Poglinco</b> <a href="mailto:poglinco@sewkis.com">poglinco@sewkis.com</a> 212-574-1247
<b>Christopher C. Riccardi</b> <a href="mailto:riccardi@sewkis.com">riccardi@sewkis.com</a> 212-574-1535	<b>Robert Van Grover</b> <a href="mailto:vangrover@sewkis.com">vangrover@sewkis.com</a> 212-574-1205	<b>Alexandra Alberstadt</b> <a href="mailto:alberstadt@sewkis.com">alberstadt@sewkis.com</a> 212-574-1217	<b>Jay Baroody</b> <a href="mailto:baroody@sewkis.com">baroody@sewkis.com</a> 212-574-1347	<b>Lancelot A. King</b> <a href="mailto:king@sewkis.com">king@sewkis.com</a> (202) 661-7196
<b>David Tang</b> <a href="mailto:tang@sewkis.com">tang@sewkis.com</a> 212-574-1260	<b>Valentino Vasi</b> <a href="mailto:vasi@sewkis.com">vasi@sewkis.com</a> 212-574-1281			

Seward & Kissel Regulatory Compliance (SKRC) is a service provided by Seward & Kissel LLP.

SKRC offers [Compliance Services](#) as well as an [Online Compliance Portal](#).

The information contained herein is for informational purposes only and is not intended and should not be considered to be legal advice on any subject matter. As such, recipients of this information, whether clients or otherwise, should not act or refrain from acting on the basis of any information included in this report without seeking appropriate legal or other professional advice. This information is presented without any warranty or representation as to its accuracy or completeness, or whether it reflects the most current legal developments. This information may be considered attorney advertising. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.

